

Organization	Example of Key People	Sample of Misleading Quote(s)
<b>American Enterprise Institute</b>	<p>Lee R. Raymond, retired chair and CEO of ExxonMobil, is vice chairman of AEI's Board of Trustees</p> <p>Kenneth Green Steven Hayward</p>	<p>The reason companies don't say more about climate change [to investors] is that the risks pale in comparison to others, according to Pete Wallison of AEI. <i>"This one [a request to the SEC by asset management companies and state treasurers for the agency to provide more information about how global warming may affect their investment risk portfolios] would fall way, way down the list of potential costs to companies. For example, there's a lot of political risk right now about health insurance cost, there's political risk about tax changes, interest rate changes."</i> (NPR News, Morning Edition, 9/18/07)</p> <p>Co-producer of (with PRI) 2007 Index of Leading Environmental Indicators with a DVD titled, "An Inconvenient Truth...Or Convenient Fiction?" that rehashes climate-related studies already considered by the IPCC.</p> <p>Offered \$10k to scientists to write a paper on the UN IPCC "...that thoughtfully explores the limitations of climate model [forecasting] outputs as they pertain to the development of climate policy..." Project was apparently canceled. (Letter, 2/5/07)</p> <p><i>"If you look closely at the IPCC's full reports, they are hedged repeatedly in uncertainties and limitations about what we know."</i> Hayward, (FrontPage, AEI, 5/21/07)</p> <p><i>"The key features of the climate change debate are large degrees of uncertainty and a long time horizon."</i> ("The Kyoto Treaty Deserved to Die" AEI Online, 9/1/01)</p>
<b>American Friends of the Institute of Economic Affairs</b>		<p><i>"Climate Alarmism Reconsidered dissects the evidence about climate change; points out the difficulties of drawing conclusions given that the climate is always in flux; emphasises the positive aspects of increased carbon dioxide concentrations" Regarding anthropogenic climate change: "the balance of evidence supports a benign, enhanced greenhouse effect."</i> (Climate Alarmism Reconsidered, 11/7/04)</p>
<b>American Legislative Exchange Council</b>	<p>Patrick Michaels (advisor)</p>	<p><i>"The science of climate change is unsettled" and the "question is how much, if any, of this warming is caused by human activities."</i> (ALEC Analysis, Fall 2006)</p>
<b>Annapolis Center for Science-Based Public Policy</b>	<p>Sallie Baliunas (scientific advisor) Richard Lindzen (scientific advisor)</p>	<p>Climate work includes production of materials exaggerating the uncertainty about the human contribution to climate change.</p>
<b>Cato Institute</b>	<p>Patrick Michaels (Sr. Fellow) S. Fred Singer (Ed board advisor)</p>	<p><i>"...the IPCC encouraged deeply disturbing departures from sound scientific research...the problems with the IPCC report...extend to the core of the climate change debate, namely the degree of scientific certainty about the causes and consequences of global warming....Using normal scientific standards, there is no proof we are causing the Earth to warm, let alone that such warming will cause an environmental catastrophe."</i> (7/11/07, publication)</p> <p><i>"...the most consistent interpretation of that knowledge is that expensive regulation of carbon dioxide is simply not warranted by science."</i> (Handbook on Policy, 12/08/04)</p>

<b>Center for the Defense of Free Enterprise</b>	Paul Driessen (Sr. policy advisor)	<i>"it's a fact of life here on Planet Earth that our climate is as unpredictable and cyclical as the solar and orbital variations that play dominant roles in determining that climate. The best thing we humans can do is continue to adjust to changing climates, just as our ancestors did. The worst thing we could do is follow the radicals' prescriptions...in the name of preventing a purely conjectural problem." (12/18/04)</i>
<b>Center for the Study of Carbon Dioxide and Global Change</b>		<i>"Suffice it to say for now, there is no compelling reason to believe there will necessarily be any global warming as a result of the activities of man, especially those activities that result in CO2 emissions to the atmosphere." (7/25/01)</i>
<b>Citizens for a Sound Economy Educational Foundation [2004 in FreedomWorks]</b>	Sallie Baliunas	<i>"Don't allow our corporations to be used as pawns for a radical agenda which is not based on science...Human impact on global warming is likely negligible at best!" (Stop the Extremists on Global Warming: An open letter to ExxonMobil Shareholders, 5/26/03)</i>
<b>Committee for a Constructive Tomorrow</b>	Paul Driessen (Sr. policy advisor)	<i>"In short, as science becomes more advanced it seems that the one thing is clear: While ice caps may be growing, and coral reefs are remaining resilient, the one thing that is dwindling for sure is the case for global warming." (11/12/01, website 5/03)</i>
<b>Consumer Alert, Inc.</b>	Houses the Cooler Heads Coalition	<i>Consumer Alert publishes articles such as "Endangered Species Act Deserves Extinction," "New York Times Blows Hot Air over Global Warming" and "Global Warming Hotheads Use Anything to Justify Their Theory," all written by Michael Fumento.</i>
<b>Federalist Society for Law &amp; Public Policy Studies</b>	S. Fred Singer (featured expert)	<i>"Global warming is nothing more than an educated guess by many of the same scientists who are still arguing about what happened to the dinosaurs. Perhaps we should think carefully before putting blind faith in people who cannot explain the simple disappearance of tens of millions of creatures, many of which were the size of locomotives." (Federalist Society Environmental Law &amp; Property Rights Practice Group Newsletter)</i>
<b>Fraser Institute</b>		<i>"Climate change activists are exaggerating the certainty in the linkage between human action and climate change and advocating policies that offer no environmental gain, but a lot of economic pain. These prescriptions are likely to deprive society of the economic productivity it needs to protect environmental quality." (Press Release, 7/21/03)</i>
<b>Free Enterprise Action Institute/ Free Enterprise Education Institute/CSR Watch</b>	Registered under Steven Milloy's name and home address with no employees and no website.	<i>"...the junk science behind global warming hysteria and the economic downside of the international global warming treaty known as the Kyoto Protocol,..."  "...subscribing to the unproven notion that humans are altering global climate for the worse..." (12/7/05)</i>
<b>Frontiers of Freedom Institute / The Center for Science and Public Policy</b>		<i>" there is a wide spectrum of opinion on almost every aspect of the subject..." (Rebuttal to Al Gore's Congressional testimony, May 2007)  "Climate has always varied, often with large swings...These dramatic climatic ebbs and flows are naturally occurring events." (2/2/04, "Science Hill Watch")</i>

<b>George C. Marshall Institute</b>	Dr. Sallie L. Baliunas Dr. Robert C. Balling Jr. Dr. John Christy; Dr. Patrick J. Michaels; Dr. Willie Soon	<p><i>"The real inconvenient truth about global warming is that the science is not yet settled and that policies are likely to be put in place prematurely as a result."</i></p> <p><i>"There has been an ongoing debate about the contribution of human activities to the global warming of the past century and how they may contribute to warming that may occur during the 21<sup>st</sup> century." (Climate Change, accessed 8/07)</i></p> <p><i>"Shattered Consensus (published by the Marshall Inst.) demonstrates the remarkable disparities between so-called consensus documents on global warming, such as the reports of the IPCC, and climate reality." (12/05)</i></p>
<b>Heartland Institute</b>		<p><i>"The supposed scientific consensus on global warming is pure fiction." (Joseph Bast, president, 6/28/07 Press release)</i></p> <p><i>"... warming is likely to be very modest relative to natural variation, benefits are likely to outweigh costs, and taking action now in the name of fighting "global warming" is unnecessary and would be very costly." (2007 Guidebook for State Legislators)</i></p>
<b>Heritage Foundation</b>	Sallie Baliunas, Steven Hayward	<p><i>" Virtually all of the alarming rhetoric surrounding global warming is speculative and lies outside the scientific consensus....Is global warming unprecedented? No. The Earth's temperature has gone up and down many times in the past. Since Earth's temperature isn't unprecedented, it really isn't leading to unprecedented catastrophes. In fact, virtually every scary thing we hear about global warming is not true and lies outside the scientific consensus. Stuff that is true, really isn't all that scary. So given that global warming is not unprecedented, is not catastrophic, we really need to think seriously about the costs of some of these efforts to deal with global warming, many of which could do far more economic harm than environmental good." ( Cold Facts on Global Warming, accessed 8/07)</i></p>
<b>Institute for Energy Research</b>	Steven F. Hayward Kenneth Green	<p><i>"Global warming is occurring and it is likely that anthropogenic (man-made) warming is a cause of global warming. But the extreme scenarios often reported in headline news but frequently disproved later are highly unlikely and derive from flawed top down models that build extreme assumptions on top of more extreme assumptions."</i></p>
<b>International Policy Network</b>		<p>IPN criticized the latest IPCC report as <i>"Alarmist claims."</i> and trumpeted the "Independent" summary from the Fraser Institute as bringing <i>"much needed realism to the climate change debate, which has been dominated by alarmism."</i> (2/5/07, Press Release)</p>
<b>Lindenwood University</b>		<p>Lectures publicized on the university's Institute for Study of Economics and the Environment, consistently question the human contribution to global warming and the assessments by the IPCC of all the scientific data published to date.</p>
<b>Media Research Center</b>		<p>Dedicated to revealing liberal bias media. <i>"ABC, CBS, and NBC are giving overwhelmingly one-sided coverage to the global warming issue with numerous reports that largely mimic the talking points of former Vice President Al Gore and climate disaster alarmists. The networks have also completely ignored the regulatory costs, estimated up to \$400 billion a year, to reduce "greenhouse gases" that allegedly cause global warming."</i> (Press Release, 4/19/07)</p>

<b>National Center for Policy Analysis</b>	David Legates cites works of Robert Balling, Jr., John Christy, and other climate deniers	<i>"Due to the complexities of the climate system, we currently cannot reliably connect emissions of greenhouse gases from any specific source or group of sources to an increased risk of any particular outcome..." (Tropical Storm Cycles Are Largely Unaffected by Climate Change, NCPA publication, 5/15/06)</i>
<b>National Center for Public Policy Research/ EnviroTruth</b>		<i>"the science implicating human activity on global warming is uncertain and speculative" (testimony of NCPPR Sr Fellow Thomas J. Borelli, 6/29/07)</i>  <i>"There is no serious evidence that man-made global warming is taking place." (NCPA website, 4/04)</i>
<b>Pacific Research Institute for Public Policy</b>		Misinforms readers by confusing weather with climate, arguing that a snowfall in Argentina and a cool spell in Sacramento disprove global warming. <i>"Warming theorists warn that there is more to come, but as farmers know, the weather does not always cooperate with predictions." Then claims, "Pronouncements about climate should be based on facts and observation." (Capital Ideas, 8/15/07)</i>  Produced 2007 DVD documentary (with AEI) "An Inconvenient Truth...Or Convenient Fiction?" which distorts scientific literature already assessed by the IPCC. Ads for the film argue that Al Gore omitted information such as, <i>"while ice is melting in parts of Antarctica and Greenland, it is growing in others. And while, as Mr Gore observes, Mt Kilimanjaro is indeed in danger of losing its snow-capped peak, this is probably due to slash and burn farming techniques and deforestation rather than global warming." (The IPCC assessed both these cases and found them consistent with the weight of scientific evidence.)</i>
<b>Science and Environmental Policy Project</b>	Founded by climate denier S. Fred Singer.  Links include a who's who of climate denier groups.	<i>"...the evidence is going strongly against any a significant human-caused warming."(The Week That Was, 7/21/07).</i>  <i>"carbon dioxide (CO2) levels are rising, but the climate seems not to be warming as a result. It did warm greatly between 1880 and 1940--long before CO2 increased significantly. But since 1940... climate has not warmed as much--even though CO2 levels rose. ...since the climate is not warming significantly, there is no immediate reason for concern." (FAQ about Climate Change, accessed 8/07)</i>  Remnants of the fake Oregon Petition (by S. Fred Singer made to look like an NAS statement signed by random people, cartoon characters and TV sit-com names portrayed as scientists) remain on the website.
<b>The Advancement of Sound Science Center and Junkscience.com</b>	Steve Milloy, publisher of JunkScience.com	<i>"Global warming alarmists, such as the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC),..."</i>  Milloy replays old arguments, such as the 1940's cooling period, to appear to disprove the IPCC conclusions of the state of science.

\* Most but not all of the groups above were funded in 2006. In 2006, ExxonMobil did not fund **American Council on Science and Health, The Competitive Enterprise Institute (not included above), Center for a New Europe, Center for Defense of Free Enterprise, Federal Focus, Free Enterprise Education Institute, Independent Institute**, and others who deny and distort the science of climate change.

We commend the company for this positive step and encourage it to continue. We urge the company to establish a policy change in its grantmaking procedures to ensure that the organizations not funded in 2006 will remain unfunded (as long as they continue to deny the science of global warming as concluded by the IPCC or propagate the work of scientists that do not publish their views in the peer-reviewed literature).

\*\* Select list of organizations that deny and distort global warming science by the Exxpose Exxon coalition ([www.ExxposeExxon.com](http://www.ExxposeExxon.com)).